Review Article **Electron-Phonon Interaction in Strongly Correlated Systems**

M. Capone, C. Castellani, and M. Grilli

ISC-CNR and Dipartimento di Fisica, Universita di Roma "La Sapienza", Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185 Roma, Italy `

Correspondence should be addressed to M. Capone, massimo.capone@roma1.infn.it

Received 20 January 2010; Accepted 24 April 2010

Academic Editor: Alexandre Sasha Alexandrov

Copyright © 2010 M. Capone et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

The Hubbard-Holstein model is a simple model including both electron-phonon interaction and electron-electron correlations. We review a body of theoretical work investigating, the effects of strong correlations on the electron-phonon interaction. We focus on the regime, relevant to high-*Tc* superconductors, in which the electron correlations are dominant. We find that electron-phonon interaction can still have important signatures, even if many anomalies appear, and the overall effect is far from conventional. In particular in the paramagnetic phase the effects of phonons are much reduced in the low-energy properties, while the high-energy physics can still be affected by phonons. Moreover, the electron-phonon interaction can give rise to important effects, like phase separation and charge-ordering, and it assumes a predominance of forward scattering even if the bare interaction is assumed to be local (momentum independent). Antiferromagnetic correlations reduce the screening effects due to electron-electron interactions and revive the electron-phonon effects.

1. Introduction

A wealth of materials, including the most challenging systems (cuprates, manganites, fullerenes, etc), present clear signatures of both electron-electron (e-e) and electron-phonon (e-ph) interactions, leading to a competition-or- interplay which can give rise to different physics according to the value of relevant control parameters and of the chemical and electronic properties of the materials. The results presented in this paper are mainly motivated by high-temperature superconductors, with the copper-oxide compounds (cuprates) in a prominent role, and an attention to the alkali-doped fullerides.

In the case of the cuprates, which are arguably the most accurately studied materials in the last twenty-five years, the signatures of electron-phonon interactions are nowadays clear, even though the overall scenario is far from ordinary [1–3]: Electron-phonon fingerprints are evident in some properties, while they are weak or absent in other observables. Specifically, clear polaronic features are observed in optical conductivity [4–6] as well as in angleresolved photoemission experiments (ARPES) [7] in very lightly doped compounds. A substantial e-ph coupling can also be inferred by the Fano line shapes of phonons in Raman spectra and by the rather large frequency shift and

linewidth broadening of some phonons at *T_c*. Phonons are also good candidates to account for the famous "kink" in the electronic dispersions observed in ARPES experiments [8, 9]. Tunneling experiments are often advocated as providing the most important evidence of strong e-ph coupling [10]. Also Scanning Tunneling Spectroscopy measurements suggest a direct role of a phonon mode in superconductivity [11]. Isotope effects on different quantities can be sizable, even if they present highly unconventional features [12].

On the other hand, phonons, which typically affect resistivity in standard metals, hardly appear in transport experiments on cuprates. For instance, the resistivity around optimal doping is ubiquitously linear in temperature (even in systems with relatively low critical temperature) [13–16] and no high-temperature saturation seems to be present up to the highest achieved temperatures [17]. While in the overdoped materials the resistivity evolves towards a *T*² Fermi-liquid behavior, it is the whole scenario at all dopings (and the material dependencies), which contrasts with the relevance of e-ph interaction in transport (at least in its standard formulation). If one were just considering cuprates with rather high critical temperatures around optimal doping (like, e.g., $YBa₂Cu₃O₇$) one would not find it difficult to get a reasonable agreement between transport experiments and the standard e-ph approach [10]. This dichotomous

behavior of cuprates, which display clear phononic features in some experiments and limited effects in others is one of the puzzling and challenging issues raised in these materials.

Although there is a wide range of suggestions for the superconducting mechanism, it is almost universally recognized that a key player in the cuprate game is the e-e correlation. Electron-electron correlation makes the parent compounds Mott insulators, and is expected to be important at least in the pseudogap region. Therefore, it is not surprising that the signatures of e-ph interaction in the cuprates can hardly be understood in terms of the standard theory of e-ph interactions in weakly correlated metals, and a new theoretical framework including e-e correlations is needed. We will argue here that this change of perspective can indeed reconcile the different relevance of phonons in the various observables in correlated systems.

On the other hand, the superconducting members of the fulleride family, of composition A_3C_{60} with A an alkalimetal atom, are often considered as standard phononic superconductors, in which the coupling between electrons and the local vibrations associated the distortion of the carbon buckyballs is the driving force of superconducting pairing [18]. The conventional nature of these compounds is indeed challenged by recent investigations in expanded fullerides revealing several physical properties associated to e-e correlations [19]. Indeed, the $Cs₃C₆₀$ solid with A15 structure is an antiferromagnetic Mott insulator at ambient pressure which becomes superconducting only under applied pressure, with *Tc* reaching 38 K [20, 21]. The phase diagram as a function of pressure closely resembles that of cuprates as a function of doping, suggesting a central role of correlations. Consequently, e-e interactions are expected to be important in other members of the fulleride family. Indeed, it has been shown that, thanks to the orbital degeneracy and the Jahn-Teller nature of the relevant phonons, there is no contradiction between a phononmediated superconductivity and the relevance of electronic correlations, and the two interactions turn out to cooperate in providing relatively high critical temperature [22].

In an extremely broad sense, these materials (cuprates and fullerides), as well as many others that we did not talk about, raise the same conceptual problem, namely the investigation of systems in which both e-e interaction and e-ph coupling are nonnegligible and the physics can be explained only taking both into account. On the other hand the same phenomenology suggests that this competition may result in completely different physics according to specific aspects of the materials. In general, we can expect different behaviors because of: (i) Different parameters within the same model (e.g., which is the largest scale between electronphonon interaction and electron-electron repulsion); (ii) Different form for the interaction term, or more generally, different models.

Here we focus on point (i), and we choose an extremely simplified model, the Hubbard-Holstein model, in which one band of correlated electrons with local Coulomb repulsion is coupled with a dispersionless phonon mode and the coupling only involves the local electronic charge [23]. Even for this simplified model we immediately realize that several relevant physical parameters control the physics. As we will discuss in the following section, we have to deal at least with the electronic bandwidth, the Coulomb repulsion, the strength of electron-phonon interaction, the phononic frequency and the chemical potential that controls the band filling. This determines a multidimensional phase diagram, which can hardly be understood in its entirety within a single analysis and it is expected to present several different regimes. Therefore, even if we choose one given simple model, it may be useful to focus on a given physical regime, which essentially implies to select a hierarchy between the different energy scales, or to fix (or neglect) some of them.

Our choice is to focus on the "strongly correlated" metallic phases, that is, on system in which the Coulomb repulsion is the largest energy scale, and the system is either at half-filling (number of electrons equal to the number of sites) or close. The polar star of this work is the understanding of the fate of electron-phonon interaction in systems that are dominated by electron-electron interactions such as the cuprates. Nonetheless, our discussion will also follow some detours, which will help us to build a more comprehensive picture of the competition between the two interactions. One of these detours will touch point (ii) addressing the role of the phonon symmetry in its interplay with correlations. This point is crucial for the understanding of the synergy between e-ph interaction and e-e correlation in the fullerenes.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we introduce the Hubbard-Holstein model. Section 3 is devoted to a Fermi-liquid analysis of the effects of correlations on electron-phonon interactions and to a mean-field solution of the Hubbard-Holstein model within the slave-boson formalism. Section 4 presents a nonperturbative Dynamical Mean-Field Theory study of the Hubbard-Holstein model. Section 5 is dedicated to the charge instabilities of the model. Section 6 briefly compares the Hubbard-Holstein model with a three-band model with Jahn-Teller interactions introduced for the fullerenes. Section 7 presents our conclusions.

2. The Model

The simplest model of a strongly correlated electron system coupled to the lattice is given by the single-band Hubbard-Holstein (HH) model. In this work, the HH model is not used as a microscopic model for the cuprates, but rather as an idealized description of the competition between eph interaction and e-e interaction. In physical terms, the most crucial limitations is the local nature of the interactions. We refer to previous literature for analyses of nonlocal e-ph interactions [24–28]. Considering a single band is a reasonable assumption for the cuprates, while in many materials the multiband nature needs to be taken into account.

The single-band HH model reads

$$
H = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle,\sigma} \left(c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + H.c. \right)
$$

- $\mu_0 \sum_{i\sigma} n_{i\sigma} + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow}$
+ $\omega_0 \sum_{i} a_{i}^{\dagger} a_{i} + g \sum_{i,\sigma} \left(a_{i}^{\dagger} + a_{i} \right) (n_{i\sigma} - \langle n_{i\sigma} \rangle),$ (1)

where $\langle i, j \rangle$ indicate nearest-neighbor sites. $n_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{i\sigma}$ is the local electron density, which is coupled via *g* to the field *ai* of a dispersionless phonon [23]. The relevant physical parameters are the strength of the coulomb repulsion *U*, the bare bandwidth *W*, the bare phonon frequency ω_0 , the bare dimensionless electron-phonon coupling $\lambda = 2g^2/(\omega_0 W)$, the chemical potential and the details of the bandstructure (e.g., inclusion of next-neighbor hopping). The chosen expression for λ would coincide with the standard definition (see, e.g., [29]) in the case of a band with a flat density of states 1*/W*. The dimensionality of the system also plays a major role. This multidimensional parameter space leads to an extremely rich physics, and the number of paper devoted to this simple model is countless. Various approaches have been considered to solve the HH model in the presence of strong correlations. Besides numerical techniques like quantum Monte Carlo [26, 30–33], exact diagonalization [34–39], and Dynamical Mean Field Theory (DMFT) [40– 50], Density-Matrix Renormalization Group [51, 52], (semi) analytical approaches like slave bosons (SB) [53–57], large-*N* expansions [58, 59], and variational approaches [24, 60–63] including a modified Gutzwiller scheme [64–66], have been useful to elucidate the renormalization of the e-ph coupling in the presence of (strong) correlations.

Even if our focus will be the strongly correlated HH model, we will discuss its results in comparison with some related models, like the Hubbard-tJ model, the three-band Hubbard model for the cuprates and a three-orbital Hubbard model with Jahn-Teller interactions for the doped fullerides. Our investigation will be mainly dedicated to the effects of e-ph interaction on the self-energy and the quasiparticle renormalization factor *z* starting from a strongly correlated regime, in which the Coulomb interactions puts the system close to a Mott insulating phase. This is realized for large values of *U/W* and for an half-filled or weakly doped band. Since the study of superconductivity within DMFT (our main tool of investigation) is limited to s-wave symmetry, we will not discuss superconductivity in the HH model which is expected to be d-wave if (repulsive) correlation dominates (specifically when the model can be mapped into a t-J model plus phonons). On the other hand we will study s-wave superconductivity in a three-orbital model for fullerides which emphasizes the role of the symmetry of e-ph interaction in presence of correlations.

3. Effect of Electron-Phonon Interaction in a Correlated Metal

3.1. Fermi-Liquid Analysis. In this section, we begin our analysis of the properties of e-ph interaction in a correlated metal within a Landau Fermi-liquid (FL) picture [29, 67]. Within this approach, the correlated metal is described as a collection of quasiparticles with an effective mass *m*[∗] instead of the physical electron mass *m*. In the presence of strong e-e correlations the motion of the carriers is naturally obstacled by the interactions, which is reflected in a large ratio between the effective mass and the bare mass *m*[∗]*/m* 1 and in a loss of low-energy spectral weight described by a small quasiparticle renormalization factor *ze*. The former

reflects in an enhanced quasiparticle density of states *N*[∗] = *m[∗]/mN*₀ (*N*₀ being the bare density of states) and the latter renormalizes the quasiparticle interactions.

In order to characterize the fate of the e-ph interaction in a similar correlated metal, we need to consider also the vertex corrections introduced by e-e interactions, for which no Migdal theorem can be invoked.

We can gain a first insight on the way in which the eph interaction behaves in the presence of strong correlations by considering the effective dimensionless e-e interaction mediated by the exchange of a single-phonon

$$
N^*\Gamma_{\text{eff}}^{\text{ph}}(\mathbf{q},\omega) = N^*g^2z_e^2\Lambda_e^2(q,\omega)\frac{2\omega(q)}{\omega^2-\omega^2(q)}.\tag{2}
$$

By assuming that all the correlation effects are local, or equivalently that the self-energy is independent on momentum, the effective mass is related to z_e by $z_e = m/m^*$. In (2) Λ*^e* includes the vertex corrections which renormalizes the e-ph vertex, and the last factor is the free phonon propagator. In order to focus on the effect of correlations on the phononmediated interaction, in both *ze* and Λ*^e* we include only processes due to e-e interactions, as reminded by the index "e" that we attached to them.

Within a Landau Fermi-liquid picture we can use the Ward identities that connect the vertex corrections Λ with the wavefunction renormalization *z*. In the small frequency (*ω*) and transferred momentum (*q*) regimes, these identities have two distinct forms depending on the order of the *ω* → 0 and $q \rightarrow 0$ limits. In the case of the charge-density vertex, which is relevant for our Holstein coupling, we have

$$
z_e \Lambda_e (\omega \longrightarrow 0, q = 0) = 1,
$$

\n
$$
z_e \Lambda_e (\omega = 0, q \longrightarrow 0) = \frac{1}{1 + F_0^s},
$$
\n(3)

where F_0^s is the symmetric Landau parameter. Equation (3) are exact Ward identities, which are satisfied irrespective of the details of the e-e interactions and show the drastic difference between the dynamic $[(\omega \rightarrow 0, q = 0)]$ and static $[(\omega = 0, q \rightarrow 0)]$ limits.

Plugging these results into (2) we obtain, in the two limits considered above

$$
N^* \Gamma_{\text{eff}}^{\text{ph}}(\omega \longrightarrow 0, q = 0) = -\frac{2g^2 N^*}{\omega_0},
$$

$$
N^* \Gamma_{\text{eff}}^{\text{ph}}(\omega = 0, q \longrightarrow 0) = -\frac{2g^2 N^*}{\omega_0} \frac{1}{\left[1 + (F_0^s)_e\right]^2} \qquad (4)
$$

$$
= -\frac{2g^2}{\omega_0} \frac{\kappa^{e^2}}{N^*},
$$

where $\kappa^e = N^*/[1 + (F_0^s)_e]$ is the charge compressibility in the absence of e-ph coupling. The difference between the dynamic and static case can be dramatic in the case of a Fermi liquid with a large mass enhancement $m^*/m \gg 1$, and small compressibility renormalization ($\kappa^e \sim N_0$). This requires $(F_0^s)_e$ to be much larger than one and proportional

to the quasiparticle density of states $N^* = (m^*/m)N_0 \gg N_0$. Equation (4) then lead to

$$
N^* \Gamma_{\text{eff}}^{\text{ph}}(\omega \longrightarrow 0, \mathbf{q} = 0) = -\lambda \left(\frac{m^*}{m}\right),
$$

$$
N^* \Gamma_{\text{eff}}^{\text{ph}}(\omega = 0, \mathbf{q} \longrightarrow 0) = -\lambda \left(\frac{m}{m^*}\right),
$$
 (5)

so that the effective one-phonon mediated e-e interaction is large (∼ *m*[∗]*/m*) in the dynamic limit and small (∼ *m/m*[∗]) in the static one. We emphasize that the key condition for the equalities (5) to hold is that *κ^e m*[∗]*/m*. Therefore, they are verified also for a modest mass enhancement as long as the compressibility remains much smaller than it (cf. (4)).

The strong $\omega - q$ dependence in (5) has been demonstrated on really general grounds only in the small-*q* and small-*ω* limits, whereas the case of finite *q*'s and *ω*'s needs (approximate) analyses of specific models. The cases of the single-band and of the three-band Hubbard models with infinite local repulsion have been extensively considered in the literature as prototypical models of strong correlations. In this framework the issue of e-ph coupling has been considered by means of the Holstein [45, 53, 54, 58, 66] or (less frequently) of the so-called Su-Schrieffer-Heeger coupling (where phonons couple to the electron hopping term) [68, 69].

Results for these models show that the product *z*Λ remains of order one in the dynamical regime as long as the momentum and frequency lie outside the particle-hole continuum, while it is strongly suppressed (as in the static limit) inside the particle-hole continuum, where important screening processes take place. Moreover, strong correlations provide further suppression of the e-ph coupling when, within the static limit $\omega = 0$, the transferred momentum is increased [58]. These additional screening channels depend on the details of the electronic band structure determining particle-hole screening processes.

The general Fermi liquid discussion and the specific analysis of models with strong correlations generically demonstrates the relevant role of dynamics in the screening effects that e-e correlations induce on the e-ph coupling. This strong dependence of the e-ph vertex on momentum and frequency (and on their ratio) makes the effects of the eph coupling rather subtle, since different physical quantities, involving different dynamical regimes, may display more or less suppressed e-ph effects. In particular the e-ph coupling (and the e-e interaction mediated by phonons) will be depressed by strong e-e interactions whenever small energy and large momentum transfer are involved (e.g., in transport). This suppression may be substantial, for instance, in the low-doping region of the superconducting cuprates, where e-e correlations are strong due to the relative proximity to a correlation-induced insulating phase. On the other hand different physical processes involving dynamical processes could experience a more pronounced e-ph coupling. Specific calculations carried out in a singleband Hubbard-Holstein model within a large-*N* treatment of the e-e correlations [58, 59] find that the Eliashberg spectral function $\alpha^2 F(\omega)$ determining superconductivity is

much less reduced than the analogous quantity $\alpha^2 F_{tr}(\omega)$ determining transport properties. As we will discuss in the following section, this different renormalization will found a counterpart in nonperturbative dynamical mean-field theory calculations.

Even if our focus is on the Hubbard-Holstein model, it can be useful to recall that in the case of phonons coupled to the electron current, Ward identities similar to those of (3) can be derived [67]

$$
z\Lambda_{\alpha}(\omega \longrightarrow 0, q = 0) = J_{q\alpha},
$$

\n
$$
z\Lambda_{\alpha}(\omega = 0, q \longrightarrow 0) = v_{q\alpha},
$$
\n(6)

where Λ_{α} is the α th component of the electronic vector vertex part. *vqα* and *Jqα* are instead the *α*th components of the quasiparticle velocity and of the current, respectively. Similarly to the case of a coupling with the density, the correlations suppress much more strongly the e-ph coupling in the static limit, while they affect little the coupling in the dynamical case (remember that the current is weakly touched by interactions and it even remains constant in translationally invariant systems).

3.2. The Hubbard-Holstein Model: Mean-Field Slave-Boson Approaches. A natural tool to address the screening effects beyond the small-*q* and small-*ω* regime are mean-field approaches based on a slave-boson representation of the Hilbert space. These methods, although approximate, capture the main physical ingredients of the problem with a description of a Fermi liquid of quasiparticles coupled by a residual interaction. This is why we briefly summarize here some results obtained [54] in the simplest formulation of the slave-boson large-*N* approach to the infinite-*U* Hubbard model. Here one can reach a semiquantitative understanding of the effects of correlations on the e-ph coupling, which are in substantial agreement with the results of more sophisticated approaches.

In this discussion we will consider the infinite-repulsion limit, which simplifies the formalism. In this limit we have a sharp constraint of no double occupancy on each lattice site $\sum_{\sigma} n_{i\sigma} \leq 1$. The standard slave-boson technique implements the constraint [70–76] by performing the usual substitution $c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} \rightarrow f_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} b_i$, $c_{i\sigma} \rightarrow b_i^{\dagger} f_{i\sigma}$, where the fermionic $f_{i\sigma}$ operators represent quasiparticles, while the bosonic field *bi* labels the state of a site with no fermions in it. This formulation of slave bosons is here used in connection with a large-*N* expansion [71] in order to introduce a small parameter allowing for a systematic perturbative expansion without any assumption on the smallness of any physical quantity. Within the large-*N* scheme, the spin index runs from 1 to *N* and the constraint assumes the form $\sum_{\sigma} c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{i\sigma} + b^{\dagger}_{i} b_{i} = N/2$. A suitable rescaling of the hopping amplitudes $t_{ij} \rightarrow t_{ij}/N$ must, in this model, be joined by the similar rescaling of the e-ph coupling *g* \rightarrow *g*/ \sqrt{N} in order to compensate for the presence of *N* fermionic degrees of freedom. It is beyond the scope of this paper to report the technical details of this technique, which have been extensively presented in previous works [54]. Here we simply remind that the leading order in the large-*N* expansion provides a mean-field description of the infinite-*U* Hubbard model with uniform constant values of the bosonic field $b_i \equiv b_0$ and of the Lagrange multiplier field implementing (on the average) the no-double-occupancy constraint. This gives rise to an insulating phase at halffilling $(n = 1, \text{ doping } \delta = 0)$ and a Fermi liquid metallic phase at finite doping with small quasiparticle residue *z* = $b_0^2 = \delta$ and large mass $m^* = 1/zm$. The treatment of the fluctuations at the next leading order beyond mean-field introduces residual interactions between the quasiparticles and allows to determine the scattering amplitudes in the particle-particle (Cooper) channel Γ*C*(*k*, *k* ; *q*,*ω*) and in the particle-hole channel Γ(*k*, *k* ; *q*,*ω*). Taking the small-(**q**,*ω*) limits in this latter quantity also allows to determine the Landau parameter within the large-*N* expansion. Setting $N = 2$ we obtain

$$
F_0^s = 2N^* \Gamma_\omega = N^* \left(-2\varepsilon_{k_F} - \lambda W \right). \tag{7}
$$

Here $\varepsilon_{k_F} \equiv -2t(\cos k_{Fx} + \cos k_{Fy}) + 4t' \cos(k_{Fx}) \cos(k_{Fy})$ is the bare electron dispersion calculated at the Fermi energy. As we already noted, F_0^s enters in the FL expression of the compressibility. When $F_0^s < -1$ the thermodynamic stability condition $\kappa > 0$ is violated and the system undergoes phase separation. We will discuss this issue in Section 6. We anticipate that, in the phase separated region, long-range Coulombic forces play a crucial role. It is indeed natural that a long-range interaction frustrates the formation of chargerich regions. The outcome of this competition is, as we will see, a shift of the charge instability to finite momenta promoting the formation of a charge-density-wave phase. In this section we will consider parameters far from the phase separation instability. Nonetheless, in light of the central role played by long-range interactions in the phase separated case, we will also comment about their effect in these stable regions of parameters.

3.2.1. Static Properties. In the SB large-*N* approach, the fluctuations of the bosonic fields mediate the residual interaction between the quasiparticles. If one only considers the fluctuations of the *b* and *λ* fields, then only the effects of the electronic Hubbard repulsion are described and one can accordingly discuss the effect of the pure electronic screening processes on the e-ph vertex. For the specific model that we here briefly discuss, Figure 1 reports the Feynman-diagram representation of the electronic processes (schematized by the dashed line of the bosonic propagators) dressing the bare (empty dot) e-ph vertex. The ratio between the resulting screened e-ph vertex and the bare e-ph coupling *g* is reported in Figure 2 both in the absence (dotted curve) and in the presence (solid curve) of a long-range Coulomb repulsion. In this latter case the strength is chosen to produce a repulsion of about 0*.*1 eV between electrons in nearestneighbor cells. In both cases there is a strong reduction of the static e-ph coupling. In the short-range case the residual coupling is strongest at small momenta and it decreases as the momentum.

Figure 1: Leading-order in 1*/N* diagrammatic structure of the effective e-ph vertex dressed by electronic processes only: the dashed line is the slave-boson propagator only involving *b* and *λ* bosons (pure e-e interaction), the solid dot is the dressed e-ph vertex, the open dots are the bare e-ph vertices and the grey dots are the quasiparticle-slave-boson vertices.

Figure 2: Static effective e-ph vertex in units of the bare e-ph coupling *g* as a function of the transferred momentum (in units of the inverse lattice spacing $1/a$) in the $(1, 0)$ direction. The vertex is calculated at leading order in 1*/N* for a HH model with *t* = 0*.*5 eV, $t' = -(1/6)t$, $\omega_0 = 0.04$ eV and doping $x = 0.205$. The dotted line is in the absence of long-range Coulomb forces ($V_C = 0$); the solid line is in the presence of long-range Coulomb forces with $V_C = 0.55 \text{ eV}$ (adapted from [54]).

On the other hand, as it is natural, the long-range potential screens out the long-range charge fluctuations thereby driving to zero the e-ph coupling at low momenta.

These findings can be obtained and confirmed with several different approaches. In particular, they reproduce exactly the results of large-*N* calculations with Hubbard projectors instead of SB's [58, 59], and are in good agreement with calculations based on the flow-equation method [77] and recent Gutzwiller+RPA calculations at finite (but large) *U* [66]. They also agree qualitatively QMC analysis [33] (although some differences are present, which can be attributed to the fact that QMC calculations are performed at finite temperature and at finite Matsubara frequencies) (cf. [66, Figures 11 and 12]).

All these results show that e-ph scattering at large momenta is typically weaker than scattering at low momenta in the presence of short range forces only (which is the case of metallic phases far from phase separation charge instabilities, where, on the contrary, long-range interactions start to play a crucial role). This can be of obvious relevance when the relative importance of e-ph couplings between the quasiparticles and specific phononic modes is considered [78]. Indeed it might well happen that in the presence of strong correlations modes that would be strongly coupled, but would exchange preferably large momenta, are more severely screened than other modes exchanging lower momenta. All this surely deserves a specific analysis.

3.2.2. Dynamical Properties. The previous subsection was focused on the screening of the static e-ph coupling by electronic processes. However the Fermi-liquid analysis carried out in Section 3.2 pointed out the great difference between screening processes in the static and in the dynamical regimes. While the Fermi liquid analysis was only able to provide definite statement in the small-(*q*,*ω*) regime, within the SB large-*N* approach we can investigate the role of dynamics in the screening processes beyond this limit.

Figure 3 displays the behavior of the effective e-ph vertex (again normalized to the bare *g*) as a function of Matsubara frequencies for two distinct momenta in the (1,0) direction for our HH model in the infinite-*U* limit. In panel (a) a small momentum $q = (0.2, 0)$ (again unit lattice spacing is used here) is reported, while panel (b) shows the behavior at a larger momentum $q = (2.0, 0)$. Clearly in the former case the e-ph coupling rapidly returns to its bare value, at Matsubara frequencies larger than $\sim v_F |\mathbf{q}|$. The $v_F |\mathbf{q}|$ scale is instead much larger in panel (b), where the effective e-ph vertex stays small over a much broader frequency range. This fully parallels the low-frequency and low-momentum limits discussed in Section 3.1.

Again this result is not specific of this single-band model (or of its treatment) and it has been confirmed by the analysis of a three-band Hubbard model for the cuprate CuO₂ planes with infinite repulsion on copper orbitals [53]. In this case, one can even notice in the small-momentum case an enhancement of the effective e-ph coupling above its bare value [79]. This is an overscreening effect due to interband processes. In any case, again one finds that low-momentum processes generically lead to larger e-ph couplings.

4. Strong-Coupling Regime and Polaron Formation in a Correlated Metal

4.1. Proximity to a Paramagnetic Mott Insulator. In this section we extend our analysis beyond the mean-field level and discuss the fate of the e-ph interaction in a correlated metal under the sole assumption that the physics is governed by the Hubbard repulsion close to a Mott-Hubbard transition, without assuming a weak e-ph coupling, and/or any approximation as far as the adiabatic ratio is concerned. This means that we need a theoretical approach able to treat several energy scales simultaneously, without assuming that any of them is negligible or perturbative. A natural candidate for this purpose is the Dynamical Mean-Field Theory (DMFT) [80], which treats all local interaction terms (such as both the Hubbard and the Holstein couplings) and the hopping term on the same footing and it is equally suitable to treat any parameter regime. Moreover, the

Figure 3: Effective e-ph vertex in units of the bare e-ph coupling as a function of the Matsubara frequency in the HH model at leading order in 1*/N*. The parameters are the same as in Figure 2. Panel (a) is for a small transferred momentum $q = (0.2, 0)$ (in units of inverse lattice spacing $1/a$); (b) is for a sizable transferred momentum $q =$ (2*.*0, 0) (after [54]).

method provides unbiased information about the dynamical properties.

The central approximation behind DMFT is the locality of the self-energy (both the electronic and the phononic contributions), a condition which becomes exact when the coordination number becomes large. The original lattice enters in the calculation only through the density of states, which we always choose to be a semicircular one of halfbandwidth *D* (Obviously $W = 2D$). This approximation is appropriate for a model with local interactions such as our Hubbard-Holstein model, even if it does not allow to treat phases with nonlocal correlations such as intersite bipolarons [81] or d-wave superconductivity, which requires cluster extensions of DMFT. Even though these limitations imply that the approach can miss some important physics of the cuprates, our results provide valuable information about the interplay between e-e and e-ph interaction beyond any perturbative limit.

DMFT allowed to obtain a complete characterization of the Mott-Hubbard transition in the pure Hubbard model, and the emerging physical picture is able to explain several properties of correlated oxides. While we refer to original papers [80] for details, we recall here some aspects which are relevant to our discussion.

We first consider the half-filled system and, in order to focus on pure correlation effects, we consider a paramagnetic phase. In this regime, for large repulsion, the ground state of the HH model can become a "Mott" insulator, in which the electrons are localized because the electron motion is energetically unfavorable. Starting from the uncorrelated systems and increasing the correlation strength *U*, the spectral weight is transferred from low to high frequency. In this process the spectral function evolves from a single band to a three-feature structure in which a renormalized band survives around the chemical potential, while precursors of the Hubbard bands develop around $\omega \sim \pm U/2$. As the correlation is further increased up to a value $U \equiv U_{c2} \approx 1.5W$ the quasiparticle band disappears, and the system becomes a Mott insulator with a preformed Hubbard gap. The key parameter that controls this Mott-Hubbard transition from a metal to an insulator is the quasiparticle weight *z*, which is directly computed from the self-energy (which is a natural outcome of a DMFT calculation). *z* measures the width and the total spectral weight of the low-energy quasiparticle peak, and its vanishing pinpoints the Mott transition. As soon as the system is doped away from half-filling the system is metallic regardless the value of *U*. The chemical potential remains within the quasiparticle peak which moves towards one of the bands, but it is still well defined for a sizable doping region (dependent on the value of *U* and on details of the bandstructure) [80]. Due to the momentum independence of the self-energy, also in DMFT we have that *z* = *m/m*[∗], so that the Mott transition is associated with a divergent effective mass of the renormalized carriers.

In the following part, we discuss the effect of a nonperturbative electron-phonon coupling in the strongly correlated metallic solution, that is, a system in which a quasiparticle peak at the Fermi level is separated from the Hubbard bands realizing a separation of energy scale. Starting from this situation, in which the quasiparticle bandwidth is *z* times the bare width *W*, the effect of the eph coupling is far from trivial. Indeed, there are two main effects associated to e-ph interaction.

- (i) The e-ph interaction can introduce a further quasiparticle renormalization, associated to the increase of the quasiparticle effective mass, which may eventually lead to polaronic effects for very strong coupling. This effect leads to a decrease of *z*. In weak coupling we have $1/z = 1 + (\pi/2)\lambda$ at half-filling and a semicircular density of states of half width *D*.
- (ii) The e-ph interaction mediates an attractive densitydensity interaction (the density-density form is specific for a Holstein coupling), which directly contrasts the Hubbard repulsion. If we integrate out the phonon degrees of freedom, the fermions interact through a dynamical (retarded) interaction [82]

$$
U_{\text{eff}}(\omega) = U - \frac{2g^2 \omega_0}{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2}.
$$
 (8)

In the antiadiabatic regime the frequency dependence of the second term can be neglected and overall interaction is a static term $U_{\text{stat}} = U - \lambda W$, in which the e-ph interaction reduces the strength of the Hubbard term. When the phonon frequency becomes finite the interaction is retarded, but we still expect a similar effect. If we assume that the repulsion is the largest scale, the leading effect of e-ph interaction is to reduce the effective repulsion, making the system less correlated and *increasing z*.

The balance between this two effects is not generic and it depends on the adiabatic ratio and on the precise value of the interactions. Yet, important conclusions can be drawn in the correlated regime, in which, also in the presence of eph interaction, the separation of energy scales determined by correlations survives. In this regard, it is important to recall that, within DMFT, the quasiparticle weight is associated to a Kondo resonance of an Anderson-Holstein impurity model. Assuming that the Hubbard *U* is the largest scale of the problem, the Kondo coupling can be calculated in terms of virtual processes acting in the subspace in which the impurity is singly occupied obtaining an effective Hamiltonian for spin fluctuations [83]. The result is given by

$$
J_K(\lambda) = J_K(0) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\langle 0| e^{g/\omega_0(a-a^{\dagger})} |m\rangle|^2}{1 - 2g^2/\omega_0 U + 2m\omega_0/U},
$$
(9)

where the Kondo coupling in the absence of phonon is given by $J_K(0) = 16V^2/U$, $|m\rangle$ is the state with *m* phonons, and *V* is the hybridization between the impurity and the bath. After some algebra, and introducing

$$
U_{\rm eff} = U - \eta \lambda W, \qquad (10)
$$

we can write, for small *λW/U*

$$
J_K(\lambda) \simeq J_K(0) \left(1 + \eta \frac{\lambda W}{U} \right) \simeq \frac{16V^2}{U_{\text{eff}}} = \frac{16V^2}{U - \eta \lambda W} \qquad (11)
$$

with

$$
\eta = \frac{2\omega_0/U}{1 + 2\omega_0/U}.\tag{12}
$$

This result would imply that the complicated interplay between the static Coulomb repulsion and the retarded eph coupling may be effectively described by an effective purely electronic Hubbard model with a reduced repulsion. We notice in passing that this calculation for the Anderson impurity model is analogous to the evaluation of the effect of phonons on the superexchange coupling of [84]. Interestingly the phonon dynamics only enters through the ratio ω_0/U , which can be considered as typically small because *U* is by choice the largest scale of the problem, and ω_0 is smaller than the hopping scale. In the relevant regime of small ω_0/U , we have $U_{\text{eff}} = U - 4g^2/U$.

These results can be tested through a DMFT solution of the Hubbard-Holstein model. We start our discussion from half-filling, where the separation of energy scales characteristic of correlated systems is clearer and more solid. We first computed the quasiparticle weight $z(\lambda) = (1 ∂Σ(ω)/∂ω)$ ⁻¹ for finite fixed *U* as a function of $λ$.

In Figure 4, we show the ratio $z(\lambda)/z(0)$, in order to emphasize the phonon contribution to the quasiparticle weight. It is apparent that the value of *U* determines different regimes. For small *U*, the e-ph interaction reduces *z* (i.e.,

Figure 4: Effect of the electron-phonon interaction on the quasiparticle weight *z* in the presence of electron-electron interaction of different strengths. The quantity plotted as a function of *λ* is the ratio between the full *z* and the value of the Hubbard model without e-ph coupling (*z*(0)) (after Sangiovanni et al. [45]).

increases the effective mass) as expected in weakly interacting systems. Increasing *U*, we approach an opposite behavior in which the e-ph interaction makes the quasiparticles *lighter* (even if they are obviously heavier than free particles because of the stronger renormalization determined by correlation, which is hidden in $z(0)$). The effect becomes particularly strong at $U = 1.45W$, which is very close to the Mott transition. Here even small variations of the effective *U* can induce sizable variations in the effective mass. Obviously such sensitivity is enhanced in the present half-filling case, where an actual Mott transition can take place.

This behavior confirms that, when the e-e correlation dominates, the leading effect of the e-ph interaction is a reduction of the effective *U*, resulting in an increased quasiparticle mobility. We can now test the above prediction of an effective static repulsion including the effects of e-ph interaction as far as the low-energy physics is concerned.

Assuming a form $U_{\text{eff}} = U - \eta \lambda W$ for such an effective interaction, we obtained η for several values of *U* and ω_0 simply determining the value of *U* which gives, for a pure Hubbard model, the same *z* we obtain for the Hubbard-Holstein model. The results are summarized in Figure 5, and confirm that η is essentially a function of ω_0/U which starts off linear at small values of the argument before bending for larger values. The numerical value well reproduces (12) derived on the basis of the analogy with Kondo effect without fitting parameters. Obviously physically relevant frequencies are in the small ω_0/U range.

On the basis of our knowledge about the DMFT results for the pure Hubbard model, the quasiparticle weight completely characterizes the low-energy quasiparticle peak. Therefore, our analysis should imply that the low-energy part of the spectral function of our Hubbard-Holstein model

FIGURE 5: Effective static electron-electron interaction for the lowenergy properties of the Hubbard-Holstein model. The picture shows the coefficient η in $U_{\text{eff}} = U - \eta \lambda W$ as a function of ω_0/U (after Sangiovanni et al. [45]).

can be described by means of the effective Hubbard model that we introduced. This is strikingly confirmed by a direct comparison, as shown in Figure 6. Here we show some examples of momentum integrated spectral functions $\rho(\omega)$ = −1*/πG*(*ω*) for the Hubbard-Holstein model compared with the associated effective Hubbard model with the proper *η*. Besides the spectacular confirmation of the validity of the effective model for the low-energy part of the spectrum (the quasiparticle peak), another important information emerges from the picture: The high-energy part of the spectrum is instead affected by phonons in a more "dynamical" way, meaning that the high-energy Hubbard bands acquire a modulation in frequency which can be related to phonon satellites, which are completely absent in the low-energy part, where nothing happens at the characteristic phonon frequency.

The picture that emerges for the half-filled model can be summarized as follows: Quasiparticle motion arises from virtual processes in which doubly occupied sites are created. Obviously, these processes are not so frequent, since the energy scale involved is large, but they are extremely rapid (the associated time scale is $\propto 1/U$), and consequently are poorly affected by phonon excitations with a characteristic time scale $1/\omega_0 \gg 1/U$. When the phonon frequency is small with respect to *U*, the phonon degrees are frozen during the virtual excitation processes. Therefore, despite the overall electron motion is quite slow due to the small number of virtual processes (which is reflected by the large effective mass), the e-ph interaction has no major effect except for a slight reduction of the total static repulsion.

According to what we have just described, we can conclude that strong correlations reduce the effect of the eph interaction on the low-energy properties, associated to quasiparticle propagation, while the high-energy properties

Figure 6: Comparison between the DMFT momentum-integrated spectral function $ρ(ω) = -1/π Im G(ω)$ (*G*(*ω*) being the local Green's function) for the Hubbard-Holstein model (dotted line) and the effective purely electronic Hubbard model with $U =$ *U*eff (solid line). The low-energy part of the spectra is perfectly reproduced by the effective model, while the high-energy features present phononic signatures that cannot be plugged in an effective static interaction (after Sangiovanni et al. [45]).

present more standard phonon signatures, such as the satellites at the phononfrequency scale.

It has to be underlined that DMFT is not able to introduce momentum-dependent corrections to the electronic properties. The above analysis therefore, shows indeed that the "standard" electron-phonon interaction is heavily screened (and it actually loses its dynamical nature) when the low-energy quasiparticle properties are considered. Only "nonstandard" effects, such as the prevalence of forward scattering that we discussed in Section 3.1 can survive at low energy.

The above scenario has been carried out at half-filling, where the presence of e-e correlations has its most striking effects, both in terms of the phase diagram, as it can give rise to a Mott transition, and in terms of the separation of energy scales, which is clearly sharper than for doped systems. Therefore, as soon as we dope the Hubbard-Holstein model, even for $U > \lambda$ the dominance of repulsive correlations is weaker and the interplay with e-ph coupling will be more subtle [46]. As a result, it is not possible to describe the effect of phonons on the highly correlated metal in terms of an effective static potential unless the system is very close to the antiadiabatic limit. Therefore, for small and intermediate phonon frequencies, we do not find situations

in which increasing the electron-phonon coupling reduces the effective mass.

Nonetheless, if we consider reasonably large values of *U*, the dominance of correlations will determine a reduce effectiveness of the e-ph coupling, and, for example, polaron formation will be pushed to significantly larger values of *λ* then for uncorrelated systems, as shown by the DMFT results of Figure 7. Here we plot $m^*/m = 1/z$ as a function of *λ* and we compare the uncorrelated system with the system with $U/W = 2.5$ (Here, since the density is different from half-filling, the system is always metallic even if *U* is larger than the critical value for the Mott transition). While in the uncorrelated case *m*[∗] grows exponentially when *λ* approaches a critical value of order 1 for all densities, signaling polaron formation, the correlated system displays a significantly weaker growth of m^* up to $\lambda \approx 0.5 \div 0.75$.

The role of the adiabatic ratio is illustrated by Figure 8, where we report the renormalization of the linear coefficient of the mass enhancement defined by the relation $m^*(U)/m^*(U,\lambda) = 1 + r\lambda$. Here $m^*(U,\lambda)$ is the effective mass in the presence of both electron-electron and electronphonon interactions and $m[*](U)$ is the same quantity in the absence of coupling to the phonons. Here a negative *r* implies a standard increase of the effective mass due to phonons. The results (again for $U/W = 2.5$) show that in all cases the coefficient is smaller than one, confirming that correlations reduce the effective e-ph coupling, and a strong (and nonmonotonic) dependence on the antiadiabatic ratio. Only for very large values of ω_0/Wr becomes positive reflecting that the "screening" physics we described above is effective. The dependence on the density naturally reflects that fillings closer to $n = 1$ display weaker phononic effects in the adiabatic regime of small frequencies and a more rapid evolution to an antiadiabatic regime in which *r >* 0.

The scenario which emerges from DMFT calculations at finite *U/W* can be confirmed by a semianalytical approach based on an extension of the Gutzwiller approach which treats phonon degrees of freedom on the same footing of the electrons. For more details see [64, 65]. The results of Figure 9 in the limit of infinite repulsion and for finite doping $\delta = n - 1$ confirm the scenario arising from Figure 7: in the doped correlated system the electron-phonon interaction is considerably reduced with respect to the free system, but the qualitative effect of λ is an increase of the effective mass.

4.2. Antiferromagnetic Correlations. The above analysis suggests that, as expected, strong e-e correlation essentially opposes to e-ph coupling, even though "anomalous" signatures of e-ph coupling still survive even in regions of parameters for which correlations prevail. Yet, these results are limited to the metallic paramagnetic state, in which no broken symmetry is allowed. At half-filling and for some finite doping region, strong correlations lead to an antiferromagnetically ordered state, and it is expected that finite-range antiferromagnetic correlations survive in a wider doping region. The relation between antiferromagnetism and e-ph interaction is hinted by the experimental framework. Indications of phonon signatures in high- T_c superconductors are indeed particularly strong in the extremely

FIGURE 7: Effective mass $m^* = 1/zm$ as a function of λ for three different densities different from half-filling ($n = 0, 5, 0.7, 0.9$) in the uncorrelated (a) and strongly correlated (*U* = 2*.*5*W*, (b)) system (after Sangiovanni et al. [46]).

Figure 8: Renormalization of the quasiparticle electron-phonon coupling as a function of ω_0/W for three different densities and *U/W* = 2*.*5 (after Sangiovanni et al. [46]).

Figure 9: Renormalization of the effective mass due to electronphonon coupling for infinite *U* and $\omega_0/W = 0.1$ as a function of *λ* for different doping levels. The inset shows the same data in a smaller range. Here the doping *δ* is given by *δ* = *n* − 1 and *λ* is twice as in the rest of the paper (after Barone et al. [64]).

underdoped region, where some kind of antiferromagnetic correlation is certainly present. For example, clear polaronic features are observed in the optical spectroscopy [85] and ARPES [7] of underdoped materials.

From a theoretical point of view, several investigations indeed suggest that the e-ph interaction is particularly effective for a hole in an antiferromagnetic background [86– 91], and for slightly doped t-J models. These results can

be reconciled with the above findings for the nonmagnetic phase by simple arguments.

As we discussed in the previous sections, in the paramagnetic phase the effect of increasing correlations is a strong reduction of the quasiparticle weight *z* associated with a divergent self-energy, which in turn strongly renormalizes the e-ph vertex, leading to the strong reduction of lowenergy phononic signatures that we described above. Once

Figure 10: Effect of the Coulomb repulsion on the linear contribution in λ to the quasiparticle renormalization. DMFT results for the antiferromagnetic phase (AF-DMFT) are compared with DMFT in the paramagnetic state (P-DMFT) and to a self-consistent Born Approximation (SCBA) for the t-J model for parameters corresponding to the red dots AF-DMFT. Antiferromagnetism allows for a sizable e-ph coupling also in the present of very strong Coulomb repulsion, in contrast with paramagnetic results (after Sangiovanni et al. [92]).

antiferromagnetic correlations are allowed, the system can turn insulating even with a finite *z* and a nondivergent selfenergy, hence the e-ph vertex is not severely screened [92]. From a more physical point of view, the antiferromagnetic insulator allows for more charge fluctuations with respect to the pure Mott state at the same value of *U*. Therefore, a Holstein coupling, which exploits precisely charge fluctuations to gain energy, is expected to be favored by antiferromagnetic correlations.

Direct DMFT calculations in the antiferromagnetic phase at half-filling confirm these expectations [92]. In Figure 10 we show the quantity $[z/z(0) - 1]/\lambda$ for small λ (*z*(0) being *z* in the absence of e-ph interaction). This quantity measures the renormalizaton of the linear e-ph coupling induced by e-e correlations. The comparison between the paramagnetic solution and the antiferromagnetic state confirms the above expectations. While this coefficient rapidly drops as a function of *U* in the paramagnetic state, the inclusion of antiferromagnetism leads to a much more robust e-ph coupling. We underline that, however, the e-ph interaction is still substantially reduced with respect to the noninteracting systems [92].

The comparison with the uncorrelated system is shown in Figure 11, where the evolution of *z* as a function of *λ* is followed beyond the perturbative regime. In all cases *z* decreases monotonically, and a crossover from a metallic state to a polaronic one occurs. Yet, the decrease is more rapid for the uncorrelated system, and the characteristic coupling for polaron formation is significantly enhanced in the correlated antiferromagnetic state.

Figure 11: Quasiparticle renormalization as a function of *λ* for different values of *U* and ω_0 = 0.0125*W*. The value of λ at which polaron formation occurs is only moderately increased by correlations with respect to the noninteracting case (after Sangiovanni et al. [92]).

The above discussion has been limited to half-filling. It is worth to briefly discuss the effect of doping in the antiferromagnetic state. In Figure 12 we present *z* as a function of *λ* for different densities, which imply different values of the staggered magnetization $m = \langle \sum_i (-1)^i (n_{i\uparrow}$ n_{i}). Notice that, within DMFT, the AFM state completely disappears only at $n \approx 0.84$. It is evident from the plots of Figure 12 that the reduction of the staggered magnetization reduces also the effect of the electron-phonon interaction. When the magnetization is small or vanishing, *z* becomes essentially independent on *z* for a wide range of values, signaling the screening of the e-ph interaction.

5. Phonon Mediated Charge Instabilities

In the previous sections we focused on the metallic phases, without discussing the possible instabilities, either directly driven by the interaction terms, or favored by the weakness of the correlated metallic state. One can indeed expect, on very general grounds, that the reduced kinetic energy characteristic of the strongly correlated metal can be easily overcome by different localizing effects thereby destabilizing the metal in favor of ordered phases.

As we did in Section 3.1 in the discussion of the e-e screening of the e-ph vertex, we can start our analysis in a general FL framework before discussing in some more details model-specific results. The charge compressibility *κ* = $∂n/∂μ$, which in a FL theory reads [29, 67]

$$
\kappa = \frac{N^*}{1 + F_0^s},\tag{13}
$$

is the key quantity that controls the stability of the charge degrees of freedom. A positive κ is the stability condition.

Therefore, if $F_0^s < -1$ this condition is violated and the system undergoes a charge instability towards a phaseseparated state.

It is important to stress that the results of Section 3.1 about the reduction of the static e-ph vertex do not necessarily imply that e-ph effects do not contribute to the charge compressibility in the presence of strong correlations. Indeed $F_0^s \equiv 2N^* \Gamma_\omega$, where Γ_ω is the full *dynamic* effective scattering amplitude between the quasiparticles [67] including both ee and e-ph interactions. As opposed to its static counterpart, the dynamic amplitude which enters F_0^s is not depressed by the e-e vertex corrections. For example, at lowest order in $g²$ and by performing an infinite-order RPA resummation of the e-ph screening processes, F_0^s reads

$$
F_0^s = 2N^* \left(\Gamma_\omega^e - \frac{2g^2}{\omega_0} \right),\tag{14}
$$

where Γ*^e ^ω* is the dynamic vertex function determined by ee correlation processes only. This equation indicates that a sufficiently large bare g^2 can overcome the effective residual repulsion between the quasiparticles Γ*^ω* leading to a phase separation instability marked by the Pomeranchuk condition F_0^s < −1. It is worth pointing out that $m^*/m \gg 1$ requires a large *bare* repulsion between the physical electrons (a large Hubbard *U* in our model) but this by no means requires a large residual interaction between the heavy quasiparticles. Therefore, even a small e-ph interaction can give rise to a phase separation instability.

Moreover, near the instability condition $F_0^s = -1$, the phonon contribution to the vertex becomes substantial and the e-ph interaction becomes relevant even in the static limit, at least at small *q*'s. At large *q* the analysis of specific models shows that the e-e interaction mediated by phonons is instead suppressed also near the instability region.

This is what indeed happens in the HH model treated within the SB-large-*N* method described in Section 3.2. Within this approach it was first demonstrated that a metal with moderate e-ph coupling and strong e-e correlations could undergo a charge instability [54, 93] Specifically in the absence of long-range Coulombic forces the Pomeranchuk stability condition is violated. The doped HH model does not form a uniform phase and the system undergoes a phase separation between hole-rich regions and insulating halffilled regions (a phase separation instability was also found in the three-band HH model [53]).

In the presence of long-range Coulomb interactions the electrostatic cost of the charge-rich regions would become infinite, and the thermodynamic phase separation cannot take place. However, inhomogeneous charge-density wave ordering can establish as a compromise between the charge segregation tendency and the homogenizing effect of longrange interactions. This mechanism for charge ordering is the so-called "frustrated phase separation" [94–97]. For the specific HH model described here it was found that for realistic values of the e-ph coupling and of the longrange repulsion, frustrated phase separation gives rise to a second-order quantum phase transition (quantum critical point, QCP) around optimal doping (doping *x* = 0*.*19)

[93] with the ordering and the periodicity influenced but not directly related to the structure of the Fermi surface. This instability arises instead from the energetic balance between the tendency to phase separation and the frustrating electrostatic cost of the long-range Coulomb interaction. Near this instability the phonon spectrum becomes highly anomalous. First of all the phonon acquires a strong coupling to the electronic degrees of freedom near the instability wavevector **q**_{*c*} (which usually tend to occur at **q**_{*c*} \approx ($\pm \pi/2, 0$), $(0, \pm \pi/2)$ for the relevant dopings and Fermi-surface shapes [54, 93]). Near the instability wavevector the phonon linewidth becomes therefore, very broad and it even acquires a background of the order of the particle-hole continuum. At the same time the phonon dispersion softens and at the instability the frequency of this mixed phononelectron mode vanishes. Remarkably, since the critical wavevector is not so large (typically of the order of $\pi/2$) the region where the phonon dispersion becomes strongly anomalous is rather isotropic and substantial anomalies are present also in the (1,1) direction upon approaching the critical doping of the QCP. Figure 13 reports the anomalous phonon dispersion found in the HH model in [54].

Of course it is quite tempting to relate these anomalies, to the anomalies observed by inelastic neutron scattering [98– 104]. An alternative possibility can also be proposed for the anomalies detected in underdoped cuprates: charge ordering can give rise to rather anisotropic nearly one-dimensional dynamical charge textures. In this case Kohn anomalies can be expected along the stripes at wavevectors of the order $2k_F$ in the stripe direction [105, 106].

6. Jahn-Teller Coupling in the Fullerenes

All the above analysis has been carried out for the HH model. It has to be emphasized that some of the effects we discussed may be less general than what the simple form of the Hamiltonian may suggest. As we discussed in details, the HH model is indeed characterized by two interaction terms which are both related to the charge degrees of freedom, and they indeed directly compete, as clearly shown by (8). This direct competition makes the two effects more exclusive than in general situations in which the e-ph coupling does not directly compete with the Coulomb repulsion. We can have two different ways to avoid the direct competition: a different functional form for the e-ph coupling in a single-band model, or a Jahn-Teller coupling in a multiorbital model.

The first situation can obviously have relevance for the cuprates, in which different phonon modes with specific symmetries may play a role, or for system dominated by the so-called Su-Schrieffer-Heeger coupling in which the phonons modulate the nearest-neighbor hopping. The second situation occurs instead in the fullerenes, where the relevant conduction band is a three-fold degenerate manifold of t_{1u} (p-like) orbitals, which couple with Jahn-Teller active local distortions of the fullerene molecule. It is precisely this kind of coupling which is expected to be responsible for superconductivity in these compounds [18].

A three-band model which includes a strong Coulomb repulsion, a Hund's rule splitting and a moderate Jahn-Teller e-ph coupling has been studied in several papers [22, 107– 109], reaching an *a priori* surprising conclusion: The Jahn-Teller coupling is not harmed by large Coulomb repulsion, and the phonondriven superconductivity can actually be strongly enhanced in the proximity of the Mott transition, that is, in the region in which the correlations are most effective.

Here we do not discuss the physics of this model in details, since we are mainly interested in contrasting its behavior with the HH model. The key point is that the Jahn-Teller interaction does not touch the total charge on each molecule, as it couples with a combination of local spin and orbital degrees of freedom [22]. As a consequence, even when correlations are sufficiently strong to suppress the electronic motion, the localized electrons can still interact within a single fullerene molecule via the e-ph interaction. For example, if we consider the experimentally relevant situation of three electrons per fullerene molecule, as we approach the Mott state three electrons will remain stuck on each fullerene. Yet, the can still be in a high-spin state or low-spin state, and the energetic gain associated to the multiplet splitting will be the same as for a noninteracting molecule. Therefore, the e-ph driven interaction will be not renormalized by correlations, as opposed to the Holstein model. From a Fermi-liquid point of view, the lack of renormalization is determined by very large vertex corrections (divergent like 1*/z* as the Mott transition is approached) that compensate the *z* factors [22, 109].

As a matter of fact, the effective interaction between quasiparticles obtained in a nonperturbative DMFT study of the model corresponds to a severely screened Hubbard repulsion plus an essentially unscreened phonondriven attraction that can be parameterized as

$$
A_{\text{eff}} = zU - \frac{10}{3}J,\tag{15}
$$

where *J* is the strength of the phononmediated attraction in the spin/orbital channel. This simple equation shows that, even if *U* is chosen to be significantly larger than *J*, when the Mott transition is approached (i.e., $z \rightarrow 0$) [107], the attraction will eventually prevail. Moreover, in this regime the quasiparticles are quite heavy, and their large effective density of states can lead to an enhancement of the effective dimensionless coupling, which is expected to reflect in an enhanced critical temperature.

This enhancement is explicitly found by solving the three-orbital model within DMFT in the s-wave superconducting phase. If we follow the evolution of the superconducting order parameter as a function of *U* for a fixed small *J* we first have a standard BCS-like region when *U* is so small that the bare attraction simply overcomes the bare repulsion. Then superconductivity disappears because *U* is large enough to kill the attraction, but *z* is still close to 1. Further increasing *U* we approach the Mott transition, *z* decreases and it strongly renormalizes down the effective repulsion. Eventually the effective interaction becomes attractive and superconductivity re-emerges, with an order parameter that follows a bell-shaped curve before vanishing at the Mott transition point [22, 107]. This

Figure 12: Quasiparticle renormalization as a function of *λ* for different values of the density *n* (and consequently different magnetization *m*) at fixed $U = 1.75W$ and $\omega_0 = 0.0125W$ (after Sangiovanni et al. [92]).

strongly correlated superconducting pocket displays a maximum critical temperature which exceeds the weak-coupling BCS value. In other words, phonondriven superconductivity is actually enhanced by strong correlations [22].

A full DMFT solution of the model has allowed both to predict the experimental observation only later provided in [20, 21], like the dome-behavior of the critical temperature as a function of doping, and the first-order transition to a spin-1/2 antiferromagnet when pressure is reduced to recover the ambient phase of A15 $Cs₃C₆₀$, and to further characterize the properties of strongly correlated superconductors. For example, we predict a pseudogap in the photoemission spectra [110, 111], and a kinetic-energy driven superconductivity for the most expanded compounds [109].

In the context of this paper, our solution is a clear example of the crucial role of the phonon symmetry. In our multiband model it is possible to consider phonons which are by symmetry unharmed by correlations, as opposed to the Holstein model. The result is confirmed by investigations of simplified two-orbital models which share the same properties [110, 111] When we go back to the cuprates, and to single-band models, our findings suggest that phonon modes which are coupled to operators which are not proportional to the charge can indeed survive much better in a strongly correlated environment, in analogy with the findings of mean-field methods.

7. Conclusions

The focus of the paper is on the effects of strong electronelectron correlations on the electron-phonon coupling. We mostly considered the Hubbard-Holstein model, where both e-e and e-ph interactions locally couple to electron density fluctuations. In this case the competition between these

FIGURE 13: Phonon dispersion curves (a) in the instability (0.28,0.86) direction and (b) in the (1,1) direction, for $t = 0.5$ eV, $t' = -(1/6)t$, $g = 0.240/\sqrt{2}$ eV, $\omega_0 = 0.04$ eV, and $V_C = 0.55$ eV. The solid curves correspond to the critical doping $\delta_c = 0.195$, the dot-dashed and the dotted curves correspond to $\delta = 0.21$ and $\delta = 0.3$, respectively.

interactions is quite effective, particularly in the proximity of the Mott-Hubbard transition.

In particular, we study strongly correlated metallic phases, where the system is either at half-filling or at small doping, and the correlation strength is large enough to put the system close to a Mott-Hubbard transition. In these conditions, since the e-e Hubbard repulsion makes the density fluctuations stiffer, the Holstein e-ph coupling *g* is generically suppressed, even if the overall picture is far from trivial.

Along this paper we have discussed how this suppression depends on exchanged momentum and frequency, and on other physical parameters. A first observation is that the suppression is strong whenever the quasiparticle residuum *z* is small. On the contrary we observed that in the antiferromagnetic phase, where the suppression of double occupancy imposed by the large Hubbard repulsion *U* is due to the spin ordering and does not entail a small *z*, the e-ph coupling is only weakly suppressed. This easily explains why polaronic features are present and clearly visible in weakly doped antiferromagnetic cuprates. The weakness of this suppression is likely to persist even in the metallic paramagnetic regime, if substantial residual antiferromagnetic correlations persist on a local basis. The opposite case of a strong suppression of the e-ph coupling,occurring for small *z* (or more precisely when $κ^e/N[∗]$ ≪ 1), needs further specification. In particular we find that *g* is more or less suppressed depending on the dynamical regime: for a small ratio between the transferred frequency *ω* and the transferred momentum $v_F q$ the e-ph coupling is strongly reduced, while in the opposite limit $\omega/\nu_F q \gg 1$ no suppression is found and even an enhancement is possible. This latter finding

leaves the possibility open of substantial phononic residual attractions between the quasiparticles competing with the residual repulsions in driving the system unstable toward long-wavelength charge instabilities. These two dynamical regimes are also visible in the DMFT approach where the scale $v_F q$ is reflected in the width of the quasiparticle resonance. For frequencies smaller than this latter scale phononic features are absent, while they are clearly present at high-energy in the Hubbard sidebands.

The nearly static case $\omega/v_F q \ll 1$ again displays an intrinsic richness as far as momentum dependence is concerned: the strong suppression of *g* already occurring at small momenta becomes really very strong at large transferred momenta. This suppression found both on general grounds within a Fermi-liquid analysis and within specific fieldtheoretic treatments of the Hubbard-Holstein model [53, 54, 58, 59, 77] can account for the impressive elusiveness of phononic features in transport experiments in cuprates. Indeed the fact that the resistivity in the metallic phase does not display any clear phononrelated feature is naturally explained by the strong suppression of the e-ph coupling in transport processes, where very low-energy and large transferred momenta are involved. Thus the strongly correlated nature of the cuprates is the key ingredient to solve the puzzles related to the dichotomous behavior of these materials, which display clear phononic features in some cases and none in others.

All the above findings are only partly peculiar of the specific HH model, where the electron density locally involves both the e-e and e-ph coupling: The analysis of other models like the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger [112] essentially produce the same results [68, 69, 113]. A qualitative difference only occurs for those phonons which couple to degrees of freedom which are not severely stiffened by the proximity to a Mott-Hubbard phase. In this regard we reported the important case of Jahn-Teller phonons in the Fullerenes. It would be interesting to search for similar phononic (or even nonphononic) degrees of freedom in the cuprates. In this regard, the buckling modes in some cuprates are interesting candidates, which are presently being investigated in this perspective [114].

Acknowledgments

The work that we review has been carried out in collaboration with G. Sangiovanni, P. Barone, S, Ciuchi, C. Di Castro, J. Lorenzana, G. Seibold, A. Di Ciolo, F. Becca, M. Fabrizio, E. Koch, O. Gunnarsson, P. Paci, and R. Raimondi. We acknowledge financial support by MIUR PRIN 2007 Prot. 2007FW3MJX003. M.Capone's activity is funded by the European Research Council under FP7/ERC Starting Independent Research Grant "SUPERBAD" (Grant Agreement no. 240524). M. Grilli acknowledges financial support from the Vigoni Program of the Ateneo Italo-Tedesco.

References

- [1] in *Proceedings of the Conference on Lattice Effects in High Temperature Superconductors*, Y. Bar-Yam, T. Egami, J. Mustre-de Leon, and A. R. Bishop, Eds., World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.
- [2] M. L. Kulić, "Interplay of electron-phonon interaction and strong correlations: the possible way to high-temperature superconductivity," *Physics Report*, vol. 338, no. 1-2, pp. 1– 264, 2000.
- [3] O. Gunnarsson and O. Rösch, "Interplay between electronphonon and Coulomb interactions in cuprates," *Journal of Physics Condensed Matter*, vol. 20, no. 4, Article ID 043201, 2008.
- [4] J. P. Falck, A. Levy, M. A. Kastner, and R. J. Birgeneau, "Charge-transfer spectrum and its temperature dependence in La2CuO4," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 69, no. 7, pp. 1109– 1112, 1992.
- [5] P. Calvani, M. Capizzi, S. Lupi, and G. Belestrino, "Infrared active vibrational modes strongly coupled to carriers in high-*Tc* superconductors," *Europhysics Letters*, vol. 31, p. 473, 1995.
- [6] P. Calvani, M. Capizzi, S. Lupi, P. Maselli, A. Paolone, and P. Roy, "Polaronic optical absorption in electron-doped and hole-doped cuprates," *Physical Review B*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 2756–2766, 1996.
- [7] K. M. Shen, F. Ronning, D. E. Lu et al., "Missing quasiparticles and the chemical potential puzzle in the doping evolution of the cuprate superconductors," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 93, no. 26, Article ID 267002, 2004.
- [8] A. Lanzara, P. V. Bogdanov, X. J. Zhou et al., "Evidence for ubiquitous strong electron-phonon coupling in hightemperature superconductors," *Nature*, vol. 412, no. 6846, pp. 510–514, 2001.
- [9] D. Reznik, G. Sangiovanni, O. Gunnarsson, and T. P. Devereaux, "Photoemission kinks and phonons in cuprates," *Nature*, vol. 455, no. 7213, pp. E6–E7, 2008.
- [10] E. G. Maksimov, M. L. Kulić, and O. V. Dolgov, "Bosonic spectral function and the electron-phonon interaction in

HTSC cuprates," to appear in *Advances in Condensed Matter Physics*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1001.4859.

- [11] J. Lee, K. Fujita, K. McElroy et al., "Interplay of electrong-lattice interactions and superconductivity in Bi2Sr2CaCu2O8+*^δ* ," *Nature*, vol. 442, no. 7102, pp. 546–550, 2006.
- [12] G.-M. Zhao, H. Keller, and K. Conder, "Unconventional isotope effects in the high-temperature cuprate superconductors," *Journal of Physics Condensed Matter*, vol. 13, no. 29, pp. R569–R587, 2001.
- [13] M. Gurvitch and A. T. Fiory, "Resistivity of La_{1.825}Sr_{0.175}CuO₄ and YBa₂Cu₃O₇ to 1100 K: absence of saturation and its implications," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 59, no. 12, pp. 1337–1340, 1987.
- [14] S. Martin, A. T. Fiory, R. M. Fleming, L. F. Schneemeyer, and J. V. Waszczak, "Normal-state transport properties of Bi2+*x*Sr2[−]*y*CuO6+*^δ* crystals," *Physical Review B*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 846–849, 1990.
- [15] H. Takagi, B. Batlogg, H. L. Kao et al., "Systematic evolution of temperature-dependent resistivity in $La_{2+x}Sr_xCuO_4$," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 69, no. 20, pp. 2975–2978, 1992.
- [16] B. Batlogg, "A critical review of selected experiments in high-*Tc* superconductivity," *Physica B*, vol. 169, no. 1–4, pp. 7–16, 1991.
- [17] M. Calandra and O. Gunnarsson, "Electrical resistivity at large temperatures: saturation and lack thereof," *Physical Review B*, vol. 66, no. 20, Article ID 205105, 20 pages, 2002.
- [18] O. Gunnarsson, "Superconductivity in fullerides," *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 575–606, 1997.
- [19] E. Tosatti, "Physics: fullerides in a squeeze," *Science*, vol. 323, no. 5921, pp. 1570–1571, 2009.
- [20] A. Y. Ganin, Y. Takabayashi, Y. Z. Khimyak et al., "Bulk superconductivity at 38 K in a molecular system," *Nature Materials*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 367–371, 2008.
- [21] Y. Takabayashi, A. Y. Ganin, P. Jeglic et al., "The disorderfree non-BCS superconductor CS3C60 emerges from an antiferromagnetic insulator parent state," *Science*, vol. 323, no. 5921, pp. 1585–1590, 2009.
- [22] M. Capone, M. Fabrizio, C. Castellani, and E. Tosatti, "Strongly correlated superconductivity," *Science*, vol. 296, no. 5577, pp. 2364–2366, 2002.
- [23] T. Holstein, "Studies of polaron motion. Part I. The molecular-crystal model," *Annals of Physics*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 325–342, 1959.
- [24] J. Bonca and S. A. Trugman, "Bipolarons in the extended Holstein Hubbard model," *Physical Review B*, vol. 64, no. 9, Article ID 094507, 4 pages, 2001.
- [25] J. P. Hague and P. E. Kornilovitch, "Bipolarons from longrange interactions: singlet and triplet pairs in the screened Hubbard-Fröhlich model on the chain," *Physical Review B*, vol. 80, no. 5, Article ID 054301, 2009.
- [26] A. S. Alexandrov and P. E. Kornilovitch, "Mobile small polaron," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 82, no. 4, pp. 807–810, 1999.
- [27] J. P. Hague, P. E. Kornilovitch, J. H. Samson, and A. S. Alexandrov, "Superlight small bipolarons," *Journal of Physics Condensed Matter*, vol. 19, no. 25, Article ID 255214, 2007.
- [28] T. M. Hardy, J. P. Hague, J. H. Samson, and A. S. Alexandrov, "Superconductivity in a Hubbard-Frohlich model and in ¨ cuprates," *Physical Review B*, vol. 79, no. 21, Article ID 212501, 2009.
- [29] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinski, *Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics*, Dover, New York, NY, USA, 1975.
- [30] J. E. Hirsch, "Effect of coulomb interactions on the peierls instability," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 51, no. 4, pp. 296– 299, 1983.
- [31] J. E. Hirsch, "Phase diagram of the one-dimensional molecular-crystal model with Coulomb interactions: halffilled-band sector," *Physical Review B*, vol. 31, no. 9, pp. 6022– 6031, 1985.
- [32] E. Berger, P. Valášek, and W. von der Linden, "Twodimensional Hubbard-Holstein model," *Physical Review B*, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 4806–4814, 1995.
- [33] Z. B. Huang, W. Hanke, E. Arrigoni, and D. J. Scalapino, "Electron-phonon vertex in the two-dimensional one-band Hubbard model," *Physical Review B*, vol. 68, no. 22, Article ID 220507, 4 pages, 2003.
- [34] M. Capone, M. Grilli, and W. Stephan, "Small polaron formation in many-particle states of the Hubbard-Holstein model: the one-dimensional case," *European Physical Journal B*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 551–557, 1999.
- [35] G. Wellein, H. Röder, and H. Fehske, "Polarons and bipolarons in strongly interacting electron-phonon systems," *Physical Review B*, vol. 53, no. 15, pp. 9666–9675, 1996.
- [36] B. Bäumle, G. Wellein, and H. Fehske, "Optical absorption and single-particle excitations in the two-dimensional Holstein t-J model," *Physical Review B*, vol. 58, no. 7, pp. 3663– 3676, 1998.
- [37] A. Weiße, H. Fehske, G. Wellein, and A. R. Bishop, "Optimized phonon approach for the diagonalization of electronphonon problems," *Physical Review B*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. R747–R750, 2000.
- [38] H. Fehske, G. Wellein, G. Hager, A. Weiße, and A. R. Bishop, "Quantum lattice dynamical effects on single-particle excitations in one-dimensional Mott and Peierls insulators," *Physical Review B*, vol. 69, no. 16, Article ID 165115, 2004.
- [39] E. V. L. de Mello and J. Ranninger, "Dynamical properties of small polarons," *Physical Review B*, vol. 55, no. 22, pp. 14872– 14885, 1997.
- [40] J. K. Freericks and M. Jarrell, "Competition between electron-phonon attraction and weak coulomb repulsion," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 75, no. 13, pp. 2570–2573, 1995.
- [41] M. Capone, G. Sangiovanni, C. Castellani, C. Di Castro, and M. Grilli, "Phase separation close to the density-driven Mott transition in the Hubbard-Holstein model," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 92, no. 10, Article ID 106401, 2004.
- [42] W. Koller, D. Meyer, Y. Ono, and A. C. Hewson, "Firstand second-order phase transitions in the Holstein-Hubbard model," *Europhysics Letters*, vol. 66, no. 4, pp. 559–564, 2004.
- [43] W. Koller, D. Meyer, and A. C. Hewson, "Dynamic response functions for the Holstein-Hubbard model," *Physical Review B*, vol. 70, no. 15, Article ID 155103, 2004.
- [44] G. S. Jeon, T.-H. Park, J. H. Han, H. C. Lee, and H.-Y. Choi, "Dynamical mean-field theory of the Hubbard-Holstein model at half filling: zero temperature metal-insulator and insulator-insulator transitions," *Physical Review B*, vol. 70, no. 12, Article ID 125114, 2004.
- [45] G. Sangiovanni, C. Castellani, and M. Grilli, "Electronphonon interaction close to a mott transition," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 94, no. 2, Article ID 026401, 2005.
- [46] G. Sangiovanni, M. Capone, and C. Castellani, "Relevance of phonon dynamics in strongly correlated systems coupled to phonons: dynamical mean-field theory analysis," *Physical Review B*, vol. 73, no. 16, Article ID 165123, 2006.
- [47] P. Werner and A. J. Millis, "Efficient dynamical mean field simulation of the holstein-hubbard model," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 99, no. 14, Article ID 146404, 2007.
- [48] P. Paci, M. Capone, E. Cappelluti, S. Ciuchi, and C. Grimaldi, "Isotope effects in the Hubbard-Holstein model within dynamical mean-field theory," *Physical Review B*, vol. 74, no. 20, Article ID 205108, 2006.
- [49] A. MacRidin, B. Moritz, M. Jarrell, and T. Maier, "Synergistic polaron formation in the Hubbard-Holstein model at small doping," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 97, no. 5, Article ID 056402, 2006.
- [50] A. Macridin, G. A. Sawatzky, and M. Jarrell, "Twodimensional Hubbard-Holstein bipolaron," *Physical Review B*, vol. 69, no. 24, Article ID 245111, 2004.
- [51] E. Jeckelmann, "Mott-Peierls transition in the extended Peierls-Hubbard model," *Physical Review B*, vol. 57, no. 19, pp. 11838–11841, 1998.
- [52] M. Tezuka, R. Arita, and H. Aoki, "Phase diagram for the one-dimensional Hubbard-Holstein model: a density-matrix renormalization group study," *Physical Review B*, vol. 76, no. 15, Article ID 155114, 2007.
- [53] M. Grilli and C. Castellani, "Electron-phonon interactions in the presence of strong correlations," *Physical Review B*, vol. 50, no. 23, pp. 16880–16898, 1994.
- [54] F. Becca, M. Tarquini, M. Grilli, and C. di Castro, "Chargedensity waves and superconductivity as an alternative to phase separation in the infinite-U Hubbard-Holstein model," *Physical Review B*, vol. 54, no. 17, pp. 12443–12457, 1996.
- [55] J. Keller, C. E. Leal, and F. Forsthofer, "Electron-phonon interaction in Hubbard systems," *Physica B*, vol. 206-207, pp. 739–741, 1995.
- [56] E. Koch and R. Zeyher, "Renormalization of the electronphonon coupling in the one-band Hubbard model," *Physical Review B*, vol. 70, no. 9, Article ID 094510, 2004.
- [57] E. Cappelluti, B. Cerruti, and L. Pietronero, "Charge fluctuations and electron-phonon interaction in the finite-U Hubbard model," *Physical Review B*, vol. 69, no. 16, Article ID 161101, 2004.
- [58] M. L. Kulić and R. Zeyher, "Influence of strong electron correlations on the electron-phonon coupling in high- T_c oxides," *Physical Review B*, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 4395–4398, 1994.
- [59] R. Zeyher and M. L. Kulić, "Renormalization of the electronphonon interaction by strong electronic correlations in high-*Tc* superconductors," *Physical Review B*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 2850–2862, 1996.
- [60] J. Bonca, T. Katracnik, and S. A. Trugman, "Mobile bipolaron," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 84, no. 14, pp. 3153–3156, 2000.
- [61] C. A. Perroni, V. Cataudella, G. De Filippis, and V. Marigliano Ramaglia, "Effects of electron-phonon coupling near and within the insulating Mott phase," *Physical Review B*, vol. 71, no. 11, Article ID 113107, 4 pages, 2005.
- [62] M. Hohenadler, M. Aichhorn, and W. von der Linden, "Single-particle spectral function of the Holstein-Hubbard bipolaren," *Physical Review B*, vol. 71, no. 1, Article ID 014302, 2005.
- [63] M. Hohenadler and W. von der Linden, "Temperature and quantum phonon effects on Holstein-Hubbard bipolarons," *Physical Review B*, vol. 71, no. 18, Article ID 184309, 2005.
- [64] P. Barone, R. Raimondi, M. Capone, C. Castellani, and M. Fabrizio, "Extended Gutzwiller wave function for the Hubbard-Holstein model," *Europhysics Letters*, vol. 79, no. 4, Article ID 47003, 2007.
- [65] P. Barone, R. Raimondi, M. Capone, C. Castellani, and M. Fabrizio, "Gutzwiller scheme for electrons and phonons: the

half-filled Hubbard-Holstein model," *Physical Review B*, vol. 77, no. 23, Article ID 235115, 2008.

- [66] A. Di Ciolo, J. Lorenzana, M. Grilli, and G. Seibold, "Charge instabilities and electron-phonon interaction in the Hubbard-Holstein model," *Physical Review B*, vol. 79, no. 8, Article ID 085101, 2009.
- [67] P. Nozieres, ` *Theory of Interacting Fermi Systems*, Benjamin, New York, NY, USA, 1964.
- [68] J. H. Kim and Z. Tesanovic, "Effects of strong Coulomb correlations on the phonon-mediated superconductivity: a model inspired by copper oxides," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 71, p. 4218, 1993.
- [69] C. Castellani and M. Grilli, "Comment on effects of strong coulomb correlations on the phonon-mediated superconductivity: a model inspired by copper oxides," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 74, no. 8, p. 1488, 1995.
- [70] S. E. Barnes, "New method for the Anderson model," *Journal of Physics F*, vol. 6, no. 7, pp. 1375–1383, 1976.
- [71] P. Coleman, "New approach to the mixed-valence problem," *Physical Review B*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 3035–3044, 1984.
- [72] N. Read and D. M. Newns, "On the solution of the Coqblin-Schreiffer Hamiltonian by the large-N expansion technique," *Journal of Physics C*, vol. 16, no. 17, pp. 3273–3295, 1983.
- [73] N. Read, "Role of infrared divergences in the 1/N expansion of the $U = \infty$ Anderson model," *Journal of Physics C*, vol. 18, pp. 2651–2665, 1985.
- [74] G. Kotliar and J. Liu, "Superconducting instabilities in the large-U limit of a generalized hubbard model," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 61, no. 15, pp. 1784–1787, 1988.
- [75] A. J. Millis and P. A. Lee, "Large-orbital-degeneracy expansion for the lattice Anderson model," *Physical Review B*, vol. 35, no. 7, pp. 3394–3414, 1987.
- [76] G. Kotliar and A. E. Ruckenstein, "New functional integral approach to strongly correlated fermi systems: the gutzwiller approximation as a saddle point," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 57, no. 11, pp. 1362–1365, 1986.
- [77] G. Aprea, C. Di Castro, M. Grilli, and J. Lorenzana, "Effective electron-electron and electron-phonon interactions in the Hubbard-Holstein model," *Nuclear Physics B*, vol. 744, no. 3, pp. 277–294, 2006.
- [78] T. P. Devereaux, T. Cuk, Z.-X. Shen, and N. Nagaosa, "Anisotropic electron-phonon interaction in the cuprates," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 93, Article ID 117004, 2004.
- [79] C. Castellani and M. Grilli, "The electron-phonon interaction in strongly correlated systems," in *Anharmonic Properties of High-T^c Cuprates*, D. Mihailovic, G. Ruani, E. Kaldis, and K. A. Müller, Eds., World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.
- [80] A. Georges, G. Kotliar, W. Krauth, and M. J. Rozenberg, "Dynamical mean-field theory of strongly correlated fermion systems and the limit of infinite dimensions," *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 68, no. 1, pp. 13–125, 1996.
- [81] J. Bonca and S. A. Trugman, "Mobile bipolaron—strong coupling approach," *Journal of Superconductivity and Novel Magnetism*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 999–1003, 2000.
- [82] A. C. Hewson and D. Meyer, "Numerical renormalization group study of the Anderson-Holstein impurity model," *Journal of Physics Condensed Matter*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 427– 445, 2002.
- [83] P. S. Cornaglia, H. Ness, and D. R. Grempel, "Manybody effects on the transport properties of single-molecule devices," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 93, no. 14, Article ID 147201, 2004.
- [84] W. Stephan, M. Capone, M. Grilli, and C. Castellani, "Influence of electron-phonon interaction on superexchange," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 227, no. 1-2, pp. 120–126, 1997.
- [85] S. Lupi, P. Maselli, M. Capizzi, P. Calvani, P. Giura, and P. Roy, "Evolution of a polaron band through the phase diagram of Nd2[−]*x*Ce*x*CuO4[−]*^y* ," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 83, no. 23, pp. 4852–4855, 1999.
- [86] A. Ramak, P. Horsch, and P. Fulde, "Effective mass of quasiparticles in a t-J model with electron-phonon interactions," *Physical Review B*, vol. 46, no. 21, pp. 14305–14308, 1992.
- [87] A. S. Mishchenko and N. Nagaosa, "Electron-phonon coupling and a polaron in the t-J model: from the weak to the strong coupling regime," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 93, no. 3, Article ID 036402, 2004.
- [88] O. Rösch and O. Gunnarsson, "Apparent electron-phonon interaction in strongly correlated systems," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 93, no. 23, Article ID 237001, 2004.
- [89] E. Cappelluti and S. Ciuchi, "Magnetic and lattice polaron in the Holstein t-J model," *Physical Review B*, vol. 66, no. 16, Article ID 165102, 2002.
- [90] V. Cataudella, G. De Filippis, A. S. Mishchenko, and N. Nagaosa, "Temperature dependence of the angle resolved photoemission spectra in the undoped cuprates: selfconsistent approach to the t-J holstein model," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 99, no. 22, Article ID 226402, 2007.
- [91] A. S. Mishchenko, N. Nagaosa, Z.-X. Shen et al., "Charge dynamics of doped holes in high T_c cuprate superconductors: a clue from optical conductivity," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 100, no. 16, Article ID 166401, 2008.
- [92] G. Sangiovanni, O. Gunnarsson, E. Koch, C. Castellani, and M. Capone, "Electron-phonon interaction and antiferromagnetic correlations," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 97, no. 4, Article ID 046404, 2006.
- [93] C. Castellani, C. Di Castro, and M. Grilli, "Singular quasiparticle scattering in the proximity of charge instabilities," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 75, no. 25, pp. 4650–4653, 1995.
- [94] V. J. Emery and S. A. Kivelson, "Frustrated electronic phase separation and high-temperature superconductors," *Physica C*, vol. 209, no. 4, pp. 597–621, 1993.
- [95] R. Raimondi, C. Castellani, M. Grilli, Y. Bang, and G. Kotliar, "Charge collective modes and dynamic pairing in the threeband Hubbard model. II. Strong-coupling limit," *Physical Review B*, vol. 47, no. 6, pp. 3331–3346, 1993.
- [96] U. Löw, V. J. Emery, K. Fabricius, and S. A. Kivelson, "Study of an Ising model with competing long- and short-range interactions," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 72, no. 12, pp. 1918–1921, 1994.
- [97] J. Lorenzana, C. Castellani, and C. Di Castro, "Phase separation frustrated by the long-range Coulomb interaction. I. Theory," *Physical Review B*, vol. 64, no. 23, Article ID 235127, 15 pages, 2001.
- [98] R. J. McQueeney, Y. Petrov, T. Egami, M. Yethiraj, G. Shirane, and Y. Endoh, "Anomalous dispersion of LO phonons in La1*.*85Sr0*.*15CuO4 at low temperatures," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 82, no. 3, pp. 628–631, 1999.
- [99] R. J. McQueeney, J. L. Sarrao, P. G. Pagliuso, P. W. Stephens, and R. Osborn, "Mixed lattice and electronic states in hightemperature superconductors," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 87, no. 7, Article ID 077001, 2001.
- [100] L. Pintschovius, N. Pyka, W. Reichardt et al., "Lattice dynamical studies of HTSC materials," *Physica C*, vol. 185– 189, pp. 156–161, 1991.
- [101] L. Pintschovius and W. Reichardt, "Inelastic Neutron Scattering Studies of the Lattice Vibrations of High-T_c compounds," in *Physical Properties of High Temperature Superconductors IV*, P. Ginsberg, Ed., p. 295, World Scientific, Singapore, 1995.
- [102] L. Pintschovius and M. Braden, "Anomalous dispersion of LO phonons in La_{1.85}Sr_{0.15}CuO₄," *Physical Review B*, vol. 60, no. 22, pp. R15039–R15042, 1999.
- [103] D. Reznik, L. Pintschovius, M. Ito et al., "Electron-phonon coupling reflecting dynamic charge inhomogeneity in copper oxide superconductors," *Nature*, vol. 440, no. 7088, pp. 1170– 1173, 2006.
- [104] D. Reznik, L. Pintschovius, M. Fujita, K. Yamada, G. D. Gu, and J. M. Tranquada, "Electron-phonon anomaly related to charge stripes: static stripe phase versus optimally doped superconducting La_{1.85}Sr_{0.15}CuO₄," Journal of Low Tempera*ture Physics*, vol. 147, no. 3-4, pp. 353–364, 2007.
- [105] A. Di Ciolo and J. Lorenzana, unpublished.
- [106] A. Di Ciolo, J. Lorenzana, M. Grilli, and G. Seibold, unpublished.
- [107] M. Capone, M. Fabrizio, and E. Tosatti, "Direct transition between a singlet mott insulator and a superconductor," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 86, no. 23, pp. 5361–5364, 2001.
- [108] J. E. Han, O. Gunnarsson, and V. H. Crespi, "Strong superconductivity with local Jahn-Teller phonons in C60 solids," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 90, no. 16, Article ID 167006, 2003.
- [109] M. Capone, M. Fabrizio, C. Castellani, and E. Tosatti, "Colloquium: modeling the unconventional superconducting properties of expanded A3C60 fullerides," *Reviews of Modern Physics*, vol. 81, no. 2, pp. 943–958, 2009.
- [110] M. Capone, M. Fabrizio, C. Castellani, and E. Tosatti, "Strongly correlated superconductivity and pseudogap phase near a multiband mott insulator," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 93, no. 4, Article ID 047001, 2004.
- [111] M. Schiró, M. Capone, M. Fabrizio, and C. Castellani, "Strongly correlated superconductivity arising in a pseudogap metal," *Physical Review B*, vol. 77, no. 10, Article ID 104522, 2008.
- [112] W. P. Su, J. R. Schrieffer, and A. J. Heeger, "Solitons in polyacetylene," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 42, no. 25, pp. 1698–1701, 1979.
- [113] E. von Oelsen, A. Di Ciolo, J. Lorenzana, G. Seibold, and M. Grilli, "Phonon renormalization from local and transitive electron-lattice couplings in strongly correlated systems," *Physical Review B*, vol. 81, no. 155116, 2010.
- [114] G. Seibold, J. Lorenzana, and M. Grilli, unpublished.